STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION FORMS AND REPORTS

P 453L (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
725	Coastal	725-01-09	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
A.R. Porta, #15257		01/05/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

YPE OF EVALUATION ☐ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE				
☐ Yes ☑ No	COMMANDER'S REVIEW SEAN MCRAE		DATE 01/28/200		
. FIELD OFFICER REPORTS	01/05/2009	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)	
a. Are there guidelines for the review of Field Officer Reports?	?	•	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Are supervisors informed/aware of deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□ No	
(2) Are review levels appropriate?			✓ Yes	□No	
(a) Is the amount of time spent reviewing documents	in balance with the need for	document accuracy?	✓ Yes	□No	
b. Processing and flow appropriate?	= 4		✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Is the flow of office paperwork efficient?				□No	
(a) Is the office filing system clear to supervisors?			✓ Yes	□No	
(b) Is there a proper system for re-filing documents?				□No	
(2) Is there an organized system for submission of reports	by officers?		✓ Yes	□ No	
(a) Is there an efficient system for handling incomplet	there an efficient system for handling incomplete reports?				
(b) Are officers performing tasks which are more appr	opriately handled by clerical	personnel?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
c. Are traffic collision reports carefully reviewed?	ffic collision reports carefully reviewed?				
(1) Who is assigned review responsibility? Officer E. Eli	o is assigned review responsibility? Officer E. Elias, #15998				
(a) Are review standards appropriate?				□No	
(b) Is coding proper? Is there an inordinate percentagor or other improper driving?	ge of causes coded as unkn	own, other than driver,	✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Are procedures in place to ensure issuance of CHP 17	ocedures in place to ensure issuance of CHP 170, Notice to Victims of Violent Crimes?				
(3) What is the percentage of investigations that result in e	at is the percentage of investigations that result in enforcement action? 15% to 20%				
(a) Are controls on accident investigation-related citat	ions appropriate?		✓ Yes	□No	
(b) General acceptance by the court and district attorn	ney?		✓ Yes	□No	
(4) Procedures for sale of report/photographs clearly understood by office personnel?			✓ Yes	□ No	
(a) Are copies of HPM 110.5, Collision Investigation N	fanual, revised as required?		✓ Yes	□ No	
(b) Is there a clear understanding of "party of interest"	' as related to the sale of inv	estigation reports?		□No	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION FC

ORMS AND REPORTS	
------------------	--

	? 453L (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009							
	d.	Do	employees have a clear understanding of when CHP 268, Po	tential Civil Litigation Re	port, is required?	✓ Yes	□No	
		(1)	Are incidents adequately investigated/reported?			✓ Yes	□No	
		(2)	Is the form reviewed/signed by the supervisor and command	ler?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(3)	Is there a proper distribution of the completed form?			✓ Yes	□No	
	е.		o reviews arrest reports, CHP 202s, Driving Under the Influen P 216s, Arrest-Investigation Report? Area Sergeants	ce Arrest-Investigation F	Report, and			
_		(1)	Does the quality of review ensure acceptance by the court at	nd district attorney?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(2)	How are necessary corrections handled? Correction forms		and the reports are redis	tributed to	the Officers	
-	_	(2)	during their next assigned shift.	uro attaoned to reports,				
_	f	\\/h	o reviews enforcement documents? Sergeants and Clerical pe	ersonnel			1111	
_	1.		Are accountability procedures for CHP 215s, Notice to Appe			✓ Yes	□No	
		(2)	Are books checked out in numerical order?			✓ Yes	□No	
	-	(3)	Who is responsible for the assignment log for CHP 215s, No	otice to Appear? OA A.	Гelly			
	_	(4)	Do employees understand policy as it relates to dismissal an			✓ Yes	□No	
	_	(5)	Is there a bulletin board for employee association items?			✓ Yes	□No	
	_	(0)	(a) Are proper procedures followed?			✓ Yes	□No	
	g. Who reviews activity reports? Area Sergeants and OA C. Cordova							
	Э.		Are CHP 415s, Daily Field Record, legible?			✓ Yes	□No	
_		<u> </u>	Accurate?			✓ Yes	□No	
_	_		Are comparison evaluations done with enforcement docume	nts and accident investig	gations?	✓ Yes	□No	
2.	TI		(EEPING	01-05-2009	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D	
	a.	ls t	he error level for CHP 415s, Daily Field Record, within reason	nable limits?		✓ Yes	□ No	
		(1)	What are the causes of the errors? Occasionally, improper	r beat codes are used, RI	OO's are not projected,	required o	vertime	
			justification notes are not made, and some 415's miss require	red cut-off dates.				
		(2)	What corrections are needed? Area sergeants will continue	e to remind officers abou	ut 415 procedures and e	error trends	s. Also,	
			Sergeants will monitor 415 MIS printouts to identify Office	ers who have neglected t	o turn in 415's as cut-o	ff dates ne	ar.	
		(3)	Who is responsible for entering timekeeping information into	MIS? CARS A415 syst	em is utilized/Monitore	ed by Area	Sergeants.	
			(a) Has anyone else been cross-trained for this function?			✓ Yes	□ No	
	b.	Is th	ne error level for CHP 71s, Attendance Report, acceptable?			☑ Yes	□ No	
		(1)	How often during the past year has Personnel Services Sect	tion notified Area of a ne	eded correction? None			
)		(2)	What method is used for employees to record their own time	keeping during the mon	th? CHP 71			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

FORMS AND REPORTS

_	4501	(D	E 00)	001	000
\mathbf{r}	453L	(Rev.	3-U01	OPI	UUS

	400E (Novi 6 00) 51 1 000				
	(a) Who reviews CHP 71s, Attendance Report, f	for accuracy? OSS I R. Schmit			
	(b) Is anyone cross-trained for this function?			✓ Yes	□ No
3.	ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS	01-05-2009	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а	Is a system in place for collecting required data and e	nsuring reports are submitted l	by reporting deadlines?	✓ Yes	□No
b	Who is responsible for meeting report deadlines? Col	mmander, Administrative Serg	eant, OSS I		
С				✓ Yes	□No
4.	LOCAL FORMS	01-05-2009	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а	Are forms limited to necessary repetitive, routine office	e functions which are unique to	the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
b	Is the collected information necessary for improved ef	fficiency and effectiveness?	effectiveness?		□No
С	Could forms be adopted for Department-wide use?			☐ Yes	☑ No
d	Is the supply adequate?			✓ Yes	□No
е	Is a local forms log maintained by Area?			✓ Yes	□No
f.	How are local forms reproduced (locally vs. Headquar	ters)? Locally/ Copy Machin	e.		
T					
g	Are local forms sent to Headquarters as per policy?			☑ Yes	□No
h	Are forms properly numbered?			✓ Yes	□No

See Attachment.

Area Management Evaluation Chapter 11 Forms and Reports Page 4

SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2009, an informal evaluation of the "Forms and Reports" process was conducted at the Hollister-Gilroy Area. In general, the Hollister-Gilroy Area consistently processes required forms and reports in a timely fashion, and adheres to established Departmental guidelines.

Recently, in an effort to better track and respond to Accident Reporting timelines, the Hollister-Gilroy Area implemented a new report tracking form for all probationary officers. Due to recent rapid transfer at the Officer level, probationary Officers have been identified by the Area as requiring additional oversight to ensure the timely submission of reports to comply with the 8 business day standard. This form requires that a checklist be completed prior to the report being turned over to Accident Review Officer for examination. In addition, this internal form assists the Area Sergeants with identifying those employees who may need additional direction with regard to timely completion and overall quality of Accident Reports completed in an employee's first year.

The Hollister-Gilroy Area continues to utilize a weekly "hit list" which is generated by the Accident Investigation Review Officer and identifies those officers with tardy Accident Reports. The list is then used by the Area Sergeants to address any late reports, and allows for additional corrective action to be taken by a supervisor. This process will ensure that identified late reports are completed and available to the public as soon as practicable.

The Hollister-Gilroy Area continually evaluates all processes relating to Forms and Reports. Ultimately, the Area continues to look inward for any opportunity to streamline these processes where increased productivity and/or better service to the public will be the end result.

A.R. PORTA, #15257 Acting Sergeant Area Management Evaluation Chapter 11 Forms and Reports Page 4

SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2009, an informal evaluation of the "Forms and Reports" process was conducted at the Hollister-Gilroy Area. In general, the Hollister-Gilroy Area consistently processes required forms and reports in a timely fashion, and adheres to established Departmental guidelines.

Recently, in an effort to better track and respond to Accident Reporting timelines, the Hollister-Gilroy Area implemented a new report tracking form for all probationary officers. Due to recent rapid transfer at the Officer level, probationary Officers have been identified by the Area as requiring additional oversight to ensure the timely submission of reports to comply with the 8 business day standard. This form requires that a checklist be completed prior to the report being turned over to Accident Review Officer for examination. In addition, this internal form assists the Area Sergeants with identifying those employees who may need additional direction with regard to timely completion and overall quality of Accident Reports completed in an employee's first year.

The Hollister-Gilroy Area continues to utilize a weekly "hit list" which is generated by the Accident Investigation Review Officer and identifies those officers with tardy Accident Reports. The list is then used by the Area Sergeants to address any late reports, and allows for additional corrective action to be taken by a supervisor. This process will ensure that identified late reports are completed and available to the public as soon as practicable.

The Hollister-Gilroy Area continually evaluates all processes relating to Forms and Reports. Ultimately, the Area continues to look inward for any opportunity to streamline these processes where increased productivity and/or better service to the public will be the end result.

A.R. PORTA, #15257 Acting Sergeant